

EXHIBIT G
(CHARRON TRANSCRIPT
EXCERPTS)

Robert F. Charron

June 12, 2008

New York, NY

Page 42	Page 44
<p>1 Robert F. Charron</p> <p>2 the Commission's questions?</p> <p>3 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>4 MR. GUIDO: I'd like to have marked as</p> <p>5 Exhibit No. 5 --</p> <p>6 MR. SOHN: Exhibit No. 6.</p> <p>7 MR. GUIDO: -- a letter dated June 18,</p> <p>8 2003 from Terry Pritchard to Chris Ehrman.</p> <p>9 MR. SOHN: It should be Exhibit 6.</p> <p>10 MR. GUIDO: Exhibit 6.</p> <p>11 (June 18, 2003 Letter marked as</p> <p>12 Exhibit 6, as of this date.)</p> <p>13 BY MR. GUIDO:</p> <p>14 Q Would you please review Exhibit No. 6?</p> <p>15 A (Complies.)</p> <p>16 Q Did you have an opportunity to review</p> <p>17 Exhibit No. 6?</p> <p>18 MR. AUSLANDER: Have you read that</p> <p>19 completely?</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.</p> <p>21 BY MR. GUIDO:</p> <p>22 Q Did you participate in the drafting of</p> <p>23 Exhibit No. 6?</p> <p>24 A No.</p> <p>25 Q Did you review it before it was</p>	<p>1 Robert F. Charron</p> <p>2 before this June 18th, 2003 letter was</p> <p>3 submitted to the Commission?")</p> <p>4 A I don't recall.</p> <p>5 Q This letter says on the first page</p> <p>6 that no Rhino officer or employee, in the second</p> <p>7 paragraph, the last sentence, it says no Rhino</p> <p>8 officer or employee with knowledge of the facts was</p> <p>9 willing to waive their constitutional rights, is</p> <p>10 that true?</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: Can I answer?</p> <p>12 MR. AUSLANDER: Yeah.</p> <p>13 A I didn't have any discussions with</p> <p>14 Rhino about what their constitutional rights were.</p> <p>15 Q Did you make any effort to interview</p> <p>16 any of the employees that you mentioned were</p> <p>17 employees of Rhino?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q And what did they tell you when you</p> <p>20 interviewed them in preparation of the 21(a) report?</p> <p>21 A They would not speak to me with regard</p> <p>22 to the 21(a), the content of the 21(a).</p> <p>23 Q Did they tell you why not?</p> <p>24 A I don't recall.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. Which employees did you attempt</p>
Page 43	Page 45
<p>1 Robert F. Charron</p> <p>2 submitted to the Commission?</p> <p>3 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>4 Q Did you discuss it with anyone before</p> <p>5 it was submitted to the Commission?</p> <p>6 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>7 Q Did you discuss any of the facts that</p> <p>8 are contained in there with anyone before this</p> <p>9 June 18th, 2003 letter was submitted to the</p> <p>10 Commission?</p> <p>11 MR. AUSLANDER: Objection. And if you</p> <p>12 need to go ahead and take a look at that</p> <p>13 because he said any of the facts discussed in</p> <p>14 here, so maybe you want to look at it again</p> <p>15 before you answer the question.</p> <p>16 A (Complies.)</p> <p>17 MR. AUSLANDER: Okay. Do you want the</p> <p>18 question read back?</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Yeah.</p> <p>20 MR. AUSLANDER: Just read back the</p> <p>21 last question.</p> <p>22 (Whereupon, the requested portion was</p> <p>23 read back by the Reporter:</p> <p>24 "Question: Did you discuss any of the</p> <p>25 facts that are contained in there with anyone</p>	<p>1 Robert F. Charron</p> <p>2 to speak to?</p> <p>3 A I believe I spoke to every current</p> <p>4 employee of Rhino which -- yeah, I think, I believe</p> <p>5 it was every current employee of Rhino.</p> <p>6 Q Was Ken Hill a current employee of</p> <p>7 Rhino at that point in time?</p> <p>8 A Yes, I believe he was.</p> <p>9 Q And did he refuse to speak to you?</p> <p>10 A He said -- yes, I asked him if he</p> <p>11 would speak with me in respect to the 21(a) and he</p> <p>12 said no.</p> <p>13 MR. BABNICK: I'm sorry, Ken, I didn't</p> <p>14 catch that name, what was the employee's</p> <p>15 name?</p> <p>16 MR. GUIDO: Pardon?</p> <p>17 MR. BABNICK: What was the employee's</p> <p>18 name?</p> <p>19 MR. GUIDO: Ken Hill.</p> <p>20 BY MR. GUIDO:</p> <p>21 Q Did he tell you why he would not speak</p> <p>22 to you?</p> <p>23 A I don't recall.</p> <p>24 Q Did you attempt to speak to Stefan</p> <p>25 Siegel?</p>

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

Robert F. Charron

June 12, 2008

New York, NY

Page 46	Page 48
<p>1 Robert F. Charron</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And what did he tell you?</p> <p>4 A He would not speak to me with respect</p> <p>5 to the content of the 21(a).</p> <p>6 Q Did he tell you why?</p> <p>7 A I don't recall.</p> <p>8 Q Did you try and speak to Charity</p> <p>9 Goodman?</p> <p>10 A I don't think so.</p> <p>11 Q And how about the Mr. Liesgang, is</p> <p>12 that how you say his last name?</p> <p>13 A I think it's Liesgang.</p> <p>14 Q Liesgang. Excuse me.</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q And what did he tell you?</p> <p>17 A That he would not speak to me on the</p> <p>18 content of the 21(a).</p> <p>19 Q Did you attempt to speak to Andreas</p> <p>20 Badian?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q And what did he tell you?</p> <p>23 A He would not speak to me in respect to</p> <p>24 the 21(a).</p> <p>25 Q Did anyone tell you why they would not</p>	<p>1 Robert F. Charron</p> <p>2 question?</p> <p>3 MR. GUIDO: That's the end of the</p> <p>4 question.</p> <p>5 MR. AUSLANDER: Ken, would you clarify</p> <p>6 for me the scope of that question? You said</p> <p>7 did you ever tell anyone, so at this point</p> <p>8 he's represented, he's acting as an agent for</p> <p>9 Rhino, Rhino has counsel. If it's a</p> <p>10 communication with counsel, it's privileged.</p> <p>11 If he was, if he's talking to partners of his</p> <p>12 at the time who represented counsel, that's</p> <p>13 privileged. That's too broad a question. As</p> <p>14 it stands now, I'm going to direct him not to</p> <p>15 answer on the grounds of privilege but if you</p> <p>16 clarify the scope I may well let him answer.</p> <p>17 Q Let's go back to 2000 --</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Ken, can you give me a</p> <p>19 minute? Can I talk to Jay for a second?</p> <p>20 MR. GUIDO: Sure.</p> <p>21 (Whereupon, discussion between the</p> <p>22 witness and his counsel.)</p> <p>23 MR. AUSLANDER: I think we have a</p> <p>24 question that was asked and then an objection</p> <p>25 and a direction not to answer so perhaps --</p>
Page 47	Page 49
<p>1 Robert F. Charron</p> <p>2 speak to you?</p> <p>3 A I do not recall.</p> <p>4 Q Did any of them tell you they were</p> <p>5 instructed not to speak to you?</p> <p>6 A I don't recall.</p> <p>7 Q How did you contact them to interview</p> <p>8 them for information that you included in the 21(a)</p> <p>9 report?</p> <p>10 MR. AUSLANDER: Objection.</p> <p>11 You can answer.</p> <p>12 A By telephone.</p> <p>13 Q Did you speak to Thomas Badian</p> <p>14 subsequent to speaking to the other employees to</p> <p>15 communicate to him what they had told you?</p> <p>16 MR. AUSLANDER: In connection with the</p> <p>17 21(a)?</p> <p>18 MR. GUIDO: In connection with the</p> <p>19 21(a).</p> <p>20 A I don't think so.</p> <p>21 Q Did you ever tell anyone that you</p> <p>22 believed that your preparation to file the 21(a) had</p> <p>23 been impaired by the employees' refusal to answer</p> <p>24 your questions?</p> <p>25 MR. AUSLANDER: Is that the end of the</p>	<p>1 Robert F. Charron</p> <p>2 MR. GUIDO: Can you read back the</p> <p>3 question, I don't remember the objection, or</p> <p>4 the direction not to answer?</p> <p>5 MR. AUSLANDER: I don't think you</p> <p>6 liked it because you got up and left.</p> <p>7 MR. GUIDO: No, I think you were</p> <p>8 consulting.</p> <p>9 (Whereupon, the requested portion was</p> <p>10 read back by the Reporter:</p> <p>11 "Question: Did you ever tell anyone</p> <p>12 that you believed that your preparation to</p> <p>13 file the 21(a) had been impaired by the</p> <p>14 employees' refusal to answer your</p> <p>15 questions?")</p> <p>16 BY MR. GUIDO:</p> <p>17 Q Back in May/June of 2003 after you met</p> <p>18 with people who were employees of Rhino Advisors and</p> <p>19 you interviewed them in your preparation of the</p> <p>20 21(a) report, did you ever tell anyone that their</p> <p>21 refusal to answer your questions or discuss the</p> <p>22 21(a) report with you impaired your ability to</p> <p>23 prepare the 21(a) report?</p> <p>24 MR. AUSLANDER: Objection, and I'm</p> <p>25 going to direct the witness not to answer.</p>

13 (Pages 46 to 49)